

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/847,765	05/01/2001	Greg Carlson	10003924-1 7792	
7590 04/03/2006			EXAMINER	
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES			PHAM, BRENDA H	
Legal Department, 51U-PD Intellectual Property Administration			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 58043			2616	
Santa Clara, CA 95052-8043			DATE MAILED: 04/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/847,765	CARLSON, GREG			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
,	Brenda Pham	2664			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	,				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Fe	bruary 2006.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 12-20 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3-11 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 September 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
BRENDA PHAM					
PRIMARY EXAMINER  Attachment(s) French H Pham 3	24/06				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Other:					

Art Unit: 2664

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 02/15/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argued in the REMARKS, page 8, that "Forslow does not fairly teach or suggest the limitation "when the current node is not the destination of the message selectively forwarding the message to another node by employing the geographic position data of the current node". Examiner respectfully disagrees.

As set forth in the Office Action, Toh discloses every claim limitations recited in the arguable claims (claim 1 and 2), except for the limitation of employing the geographic position data of the current node. This limitation is well known in the art and also taught by Antonucci et al. Antonucci et al teach the geographic information may be derived from Global Positioning System. Therefore, it would have been obvious to implement the limitation of employing the geographic position data of the current node, in Toh. Examiner believes Toh in view of Antonucci et al disclose and render obvious all the claim limitation in claim 1 and 2. Therefore, the rejection stands

## Conclusion

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brenda Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-3135. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham, can be reached on (571) 272-3179.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

March 23, 2006

Brenda Pham

BRENDA PHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER